

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,739	05/10/2004	David A. Giardino	CP-5144US2	9744	
7590 12/23/2005			EXAMINER		
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS			CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C		
3 Lear Jet Lane	, Suite 201				
Latham, NY 12110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E	-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/772,739	GIARDINO, DAVID	A.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compital following time periods:         <ol> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(for Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replif the final rejection. risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee.	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within e final rejection, whichever the final rejection.  IRST REPLY WAS FILED and the appropriate extension in the propriate extension.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	e filed within two mon ), to avoid dismissal o	iths of the date
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 56-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 62-64 and 67.		ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  7. The affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the sufficient reasons who it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Rinald I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces changes to the claim that requires further consideration. In particular, as in claims 56 and 60, the inclusion that the apparatus has a valve in fluid communication with the tool; and adjusting the flow rate of the valve to control the output of the apparatus.